Search for an invisibly decaying Higgs Boson with ATLAS

- impact on Level 1 trigger -

- Summary of Higgs searches using the Vector Boson Fusion (VBF) mode
- Invisible Higgs in VBF
- Tag jets ® Impact on Level 1 trigger

Karl Jakobs Institut für Physik Universität Mainz 55099 Mainz



## Main search channels at the LHC



10 fb<sup>-1</sup>: Discovery possible over the full mass range,<br/>however, needs combination of ATLAS + CMS<br/> $M_{\rm H} = 115$  GeV:S/  $\ddot{OB} = 4.7$ 

- All standard search channels are triggered by em objects or muons !!
- Search relies mainly on the gg-fusion channels !!

#### LHC discovery potential for MSSM Higgs bosons



4 Higgs observable
3 Higgs observable
2 Higgs observable
1 Higgs observable

 $5\sigma$  contours

Assuming decays to SM particles only

Here only SM-like h observable if SUSY particles neglected.

- Plane fully covered (no holes) at low L (30 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
- Main channels :  $h \rightarrow gg$ ,  $b\overline{b}$ ,  $A/H \rightarrow mm$ , tt,  $H^{\pm} \rightarrow tn$

The same in the MSSM plane: - additional important channel A/H ® t t default channel: tt ® ℓ nn had n add. channel: tt ® had n had n (t + P<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>) (Jürgen Thomas)

### Leading order production cross sections







associated  $t\bar{t}H$ 

# Higgs production via Vector Boson Fusion

## **Motivation:**

- Increase discovery potential at low mass
- Improve measurement of Higgs boson parameters (couplings to bosons, fermions (taus))

proposed by D.Rainwater and D.Zeppenfeld et al.: (hep-ph/9712271, hep-ph/9808468 and hep-ph/9906218)

#### **Destinctive Signature of :**

- two high P<sub>T</sub> forward jets
- little jet activity in the central region
  Jet Veto



### **Þ** Experimental Issues:

- Forward jet reconstruction
- Jets from pile-up in the central/forward region

<u>Channels studied:</u> qqH ® qqWW\* ® qq l n l n qqH ® qq t t ® qq l n l n ® qq l nn had n

#### Forward tag Jets

Rapidity distribution of tag jets Rapidity separation VBF Higgs events vs. tt-background



Forward tag jet reconstruction has been studied in full simulation in ATLAS

kin. eff. for tag jets = 51.9% (P<sub>T</sub> > 40/20 GeV,  $\Delta \eta > 3.6$ )

tag eff. per jet: around 75%





#### Combined significance of VBF channels for 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>



- VBF channels (in particular WW\*) are discovery channels at low luminosity
- For 10 fb<sup>-1</sup> in ATLAS:

5 s significance for 120 £ m<sub>H</sub> £ 190 GeV

# Invisible Higgs decays ?



Preliminary ATLAS study: (Lionel Neukermans, Annecy)

search for invisibly decaying Higgs boson in VBF mode (based on study by O.Eboli and D.Zeppenfeld, Phys.Lett.B495 (2000))

Event selection: 2 tag jets,  $(P_T, \Delta \eta, M_{jj}>1200 \text{ GeV})$   $P_T^{miss} > 100 \text{ GeV}$ Lepton and Jet veto (no jets with  $P_T>20 \text{ GeV}$ )

Current belief: requires special forward jet + P<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> trigger

Discriminating variable:  $\Delta \phi_{ii}$  (separation between tag jets)

expect differences due to HIggs coupling structure:



background normalization via W  $\rightarrow \ell v$  and Z $\rightarrow \ell \ell$ in region  $\Delta \phi > 1$  needed, to constrain the background (estimated background uncertainty: 4-5%)

#### **Sensitivity:**



- Needs confirmation from more detailed simulation (trigger)
- Non-Standard Model background ??
- Needs confirmation in ttH and/or WH channel to demonstrate presence of a Higgs boson



Signal acceptance (offline cuts):				
_	<b>P</b> <sub>T</sub> (jets)	<b>Dh</b> > 4.0	$P_{T}^{miss} > 100 \text{ GeV}$	
20/ 20	0.79	0.51	0.22	
40 / 20	0.74	0.47	0.21	
40/ 40	0.45	0.27	0.15	

Acceptance of a forward jet trigger: require tag jet intervals: > 1.4, 2.0, 2.5, 3.2



#### **L1-Trigger acceptance**

a) Parton level information (tag jets):



b) incl. ATLFAST jet reconstruction, PYTHIA ISR/FSR:



### **Conclusions**

• Vector boson fusion offers a possibility to search for an invisibly decaying Higgs boson

ATLAS should not miss this opportunity !! We need to trigger on those events

• Forward FCAL trigger seems not very useful (may be useful for other types of physics, diffractive production.....??)

need at least to be extended in endcap calorimeters

• Maybe these topologies could be better covered by a 2-jet + P<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> trigger (low P<sub>T</sub> thresholds !!, but large P<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>)

other goodies: large  $\Delta \eta$ no jet activity in central region ??

• Discussion between L1-Trigger and Physics groups must continue