February 2004



CTP, LTP, Rod-Busy Review



See http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a036454

C .N .P .Gee Rutherford Appleton Laboratory







Review Overview



- Initial plan was for FDR for CTP, LTP and ROD_BUSY
 - But FDR is strict: requires working modules, performance measurements.
 - CTP is not at this stage.

• Agree on Interim review for CTP

- With FDR after the test beam; will need to be rigorous.
- Tension between need to complete documentation in detail to ensure robust design, but allow CTP design to proceed quickly.
- Even so, very large number of comments in detail.
- PDR for LTP and ROD_BUSY, separately documented
 - In fact the LTP and ROD_BUSY designs are more advanced and stable. Comments turn out to be relatively minor.



CTP Structure



- Eleven 9-U modules of 6 separate types. A complex system.
 - CTP_MI (LHC Machine interface)
 - CTP_IN: 3 modules, total 160 outputs from 3*4*31=372 inputs.
 - CTP_MON: Monitoring by large no of scalers. Prototype exists
 - CTP_CORE: main combinatorial logic module.
 - CTP_OUT: 4 modules, bi-directional links to total of 20 LTPs.
 - CTP_CAL: Calibration pulse generation.
- Three 40MHz backplanes
 - PIT_BUS: 160 bits single-ended from 3*INs to CORE and MON
 - COM_BUS: Synchronisation, clocks.
 - CAL_BUS: Calibration request signals.



CTP Structure













CTP_MON

















- Modules not documented more information requested:
 - CTP_MI
 - CTP_OUT:
 - CTP_CAL:
- Some documentation for
 - CTP_IN: Detailed design, well thought-out.
 - CTP_MON: No documentation, but prototype exists
 - CTP_CORE: Complex module, tight latency budget, planning reduced version.
- Three 40MHz backplanes
 - Prototypes exist, many simulated waveforms.







- URD: an established document. Still some questions to resolve
 - Some where ATLAS policy is unclear e.g. sweeper, trigger types, checkpoint,....
 - Some where detectors need to agree.
 - Some where interface details need to be documented
- Trigger Menus:
 - No document, but a series of detailed studies presented. Includes use of unusual triggers – eg cosmic, beam halo. Conclude capacity of design is adequate.
- Daq & Control.
 - Good initial document, needs to be correlated with other LVL1 DAQ & Control.



Reviewers Comments



- **Reduced version of CTP_CORE to meet beam timetable.**
- Adopt Sign-off procedure to ensure detector agreement.
- Ask for external documents/decisions on an agreed timescale
- Await information on undocumented modules.



LTP and ROD-BUSY



- **ROD_BUSY** is mature, presented many times.
- LTP is relatively new, but well documented and clearly well thought out.
- Both modules pass PDR with relatively few comments.
 - Documentation to be updated.
 - Desire for common software support.
- FDR after use in test beam.